ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble JUSTICE RANJIT KUMAR BAG

& The Hon'ble DR. SUBESH KUMAR DAS

Case No - <u>OA 1227 OF 2015</u>

Sudhansu Karmakar & Others <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessa 3
12 11.09.2018	For the Applicants : Mr. A.B. Mukherjee, Learned Advocate.	
	For the State Respondents : Mrs. S. Agarwal, Learned Advocate.	
	The applicants have prayed for direction upon the	
	respondents to count the past service rendered by the applicants for grant of pensionery benefits.	
	The applicant no. 1 was appointed in the post of	
	Constable of Police on March 01, 2006 and retired from	
	service on July 31, 2015. The applicant no. 1 rendered	
	service in the post of Constable of Police for total period	
	of nine years and five months. The applicant no. 2 was	
	appointed in the post of Constable of Police on May 29,	
	2006 and retired from service on March 31, 2015. He	
	served in the post of Constable of Police for total period	
	of eight years ten months and three days. The applicant	
	no. 3 was appointed in the post of Constable of Police on	
	March 01, 2006 and retired from service on May 31,	
	2015. He rendered service in the post of Constable of	
	Police for total period of nine years and five months. All	
	these applicants served as National Volunteer Force (In	
	short N.V.F) for prolonged period of time before their	

Form No.

Sudhansu Karmakar & Others

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

.....

Case No. <u>OA 1227 OF 2015</u>

appointment in the post of Constable of Police. With the above factual matrix Mr. A.B. Mukherjee, Learned Counsel for the applicants contends that the applicant served for prolonged period of time as N.V.F, though there was break in the service of N.V.F. after gap of ninety days. Mr. Mukherjee further contends that the applicants would have joined in the post of Constable of Police long back, had there been relaxation of Physical Measurement and age of recruitment for the post of Constable of Police in time. On the other hand, Mrs. S. Agarwal, Learned Counsel representing the state respondents submits that the applicants have not rendered ten years of qualifying service for pension as per provisions of Rule 67 of the West Bengal Services (Deathcum-Retirement Benefit) Rules, 1971 (in short DCRB Rules, 1971).

Having heard Learned Counsel representing both parties and on consideration of the materials of record, we find that the applicants have not fulfilled the criteria of qualified service of ten years for grant of pension as laid down in Rule 67 of the DCRB Rules, 1971. That apart, the shortfall in qualifying service for pension of the applicants no 1 and 3 is seven months and the shortfall for qualifying service for pension of the applicant no. 2 is more than one year and as such we cannot persuade ourselves to give direction to the state respondents to

ORDER SHEET

Sudhansu Karmakar & Others

••••

Form No.

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA 1227 OF 2015</u>

	condone the shortfall in qu		
	invoking Rule 36 of the 1		
	applicants have not rende		
	before their appointment		
	Police, they are not entitle		
	for grant of pension. As		
	entitled to get pension b		
	prayed for.		
	The original applica		
	Let a plain copy of		
	parties.		
	(S.K.DAS)	(R.K.BAG)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	
H.S			

ORDER SHEET

Sudhansu Karmakar & Others

••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

.....

Case No. <u>OA 1227 OF 2015</u>

Form No.